•1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

07 OCT 16 PM 1: 39

DEPUTY

ROBIE & MATTHAI A Professional Corporation JAMES R. ROBIE, SBN 67303 RONALD P. FUNNELL, SBN 209897 500 South Grand Avenue, 15th Floor Los Angeles, California 90071 (213) 706-8000 • (213) 624-2563 Fax cvéton@romalaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff PROGRESSIVE WEST INSURANCE COMPANY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

1999 JAH (POR)

PROGRESSIVE WEST INSURANCE) COMPANY, an Ohio corporation,

VS.

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF

CASE NO.:

BUN BUN TRAN, LEONEL ARRELLANO,

Defendants.

Plaintiff,

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Plaintiff Progressive West Insurance Company ("Progressive") alleges:

- Plaintiff is and was at all times mentioned a corporation incorporated under the laws of the State of Ohio and having its principal place of business in the State of Ohio.
- Defendant Bun Bun Tran ("Mr. Tran") is and was at all times mentioned 2. an individual residing in the State of California, County of San Diego.
- Defendant Leonel Arrellano ("Mr. Arrellano") is and was at all times 3. mentioned an individual residing in the State of California.
- The jurisdiction of this Court over the subject matter of this action is 4. predicted on 28 U.S.C § 1332. The amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.00, exclusive of interest and costs. In determining the amount in controversy for

K:\4461\Pleading\Complaint Dec Relief.wpd

8

11

15

26

28

- jurisdictional purposes, general, special, and punitive damages are to be considered if recoverable. See, e.g., Watson v. Blankinship, 20 F.3d 383, 386-87 (10th Cir. 1994); Smith v. Bally's Holiday, 843 F. Supp. 1451 (N.D. Ga. 1994); Srour v. Barmes, 670 F.Supp. 18 (D.D.C. 1987). Based on the facts alleged below, it is legally certain that defendant's claim against Progressive exceeds \$75,000.00. Therefore, although Progressive disputes liability, Progressive asserts that the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.00 and that this Court has jurisdiction over this action.
- 5. Venue is proper, as the Southern District of California is the district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions on which the claim is based occurred.
- 6. For the policy period May 30, 2006 to November 30, 2006, plaintiff Progressive insured defendant Leonel Arrellano ("Mr. Arrellano") under California Motor Vehicle Policy number 16558999-00. The policy contained a per-person bodily injury liability limit of \$15,000.
- 7. On November 18, 2006, at 1:05 a.m., defendant Bun Bun Tran was driving his automobile eastbound on Juniper Street in the City of San Diego.
- 8. At the same time, Defendant Mr. Arrellano was driving his pickup truck south bound on Commonwealth Avenue in the City of San Diego.
- Mr. Arrellano's pickup truck collided with Mr. Tran's automobile. The 9. subsequent traffic collision report assigned fault to Mr. Arrellano for disregarding a stop sign.
- As a result of the collision, Mr. Tran suffered serious injuries including 10. head trauma described as a subarachnoid hemorrhage and a ruptured spleen. Mr. Tran reportedly remains comatose.
 - Mr. Tran's medical bills are believed to be in excess of \$700,000.00. 11.
- Following the accident, Mr. Arrellano was arrested by the San Diego 12. Police Department for driving under the influence of alcohol, driving without a licence and leaving the scene of an accident. In February 2007, Mr. Arrellano pled

guilty to violation of Vehicle Code §20001(a) (leaving scene of an accident) and

3

7

5

12

11

14

13

1516

17

18 19

20

21

2223

2425

26

2728

§23153(b) (driving under the influence of alcohol and causing bodily injury to another.) Mr. Arrellano remained in the San Diego County Jail until June 2007, when he was sentenced to 6 years in a California prison.

13. On or about January 26, 2007, attorney Anh Quoc Duy Nguyen wrote

Progressive demanding that Progressive tender its liability policy limits within fifteen

- 13. On or about January 26, 2007, attorney Anh Quoc Duy Nguyen wrote Progressive demanding that Progressive tender its liability policy limits within fifteen (15) days. A copy of attorney Nguyen's demand letter is attached as Exhibit 1.
- 14. Plaintiff is informed and believes that at the time Attorney Nguyen sent his January 26, 2007 letter, he was not legally representing Bun Bun Tran, but, instead, was representing Mr. Tran's mother. Plaintiff is further informed and believes that Mr. Tran's mother was not then guardian ad litem of Mr. Tran. As a result, Attorney Nguyen had no legal authority to settle the claims of Mr. Tran, nor did he have legal authority to release claims of Mr. Tran against any tort feasor.
- 15. Attorney Nguyen's demand did not offer a release or dismissal in exchange for payment of the insurance benefits, and, indeed, it specifically was subject to the condition precedent "of convincing me [Nguyen] that there are no other responsible parties, whether insured or not, causing this accident. If I am convinced, I will state as much in a letter. If I am not convinced, I will never state as much in a letter and there will be no settlement."
- 16. It was legally and factually impossible to convince Attorney Nguyen that there were no other responsible parties for causing this accident, given the facts and circumstances of the accident, and that Patricia Cole in fact paid Bun Bun Tran \$300,000 to settle the liability claim against her arising from this accident in July 2007.
- 17. As a result of the above condition precedent, attorney Nguyen's demand was not an offer to settle within the policy's limits. Rather, the condition precedent of "convincing" Nguyen was outside of the policy and its stated limits.
 - 18. In response to attorney Nguyen's letter, Progressive offered its policy

8

13

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

limits on February 2, 2007, within seven days of the demand. Attorney Nguyen rejected the offer and referred Mr. Tran's claim to attorney Christopher Angelo.

- On or about May 8, 2007, attorney Angelo filed a lawsuit on behalf of Mr. Tran (through his guardian ad litem, Le Thi Nguyen), entitled Bun Bun Tran vs. Arrellano, et al., San Diego Superior Court Case No. 37-2007-00065432-CU-PA-CTL. The complaint alleges causes of action for negligence and negligence per se against Mr. Arrellano.
- The correspondence, pleadings and discovery proceedings in the above 20. captioned lawsuit make clear that attorney Angelo intends to obtain a judgment against Mr. Arrellano and then sue Progressive on behalf of Mr. Tran for breach of contract and breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing for failure to settle Mr. Tran's claim within policy limits.
- 21. Attorney Angelo has accused Progressive of misconduct and claims that Progressive's failure to accept Attorney Nguyen's January 26, 2007 policy limits demand has eliminated the stated limits of the policy. As a result of the erroneous contention that Progressive has "taken the lid off its policy" by not accepting Attorney Nguyen's conditional demand of January 26, 2007, Mr. Tran claims, inter alia, that a conflict of interest has arisen between Progressive's defense counsel and Mr. Arrellano, that Mr. Arrellano should stipulate to a multi-million dollar judgment and that Progressive should bear liability for these extracontractual claims. A copy of the letter of June 28, 2007, from Mr. Tran's attorney is here attached as Exhibit 2. Progressive denies and disputes these allegations and contends it has not rejected a settlement within policy limits which would lead to such consequences claimed by defendant Mr. Tran.
- 22. An actual controversy has arisen and now exists between the parties relating to the legal rights and duties of plaintiff and defendants under the involved policy of insurance, for which plaintiff desires a declaration of rights.
 - 23. A declaratory judgment is necessary in that plaintiff contends it

23

24

25

26

27

28

discharged its obligations under the insurance policy and in accord with California law and that its conduct in response to the letter of attorney Nguyen (Exhibit 1) did not eliminate or jeopardize the \$15,000 policy limits available on the contract at issue.

Wherefore, plaintiff prays for a declaratory judgment against defendants as follows:

- That the Court declare the respective rights and duties of plaintiff and 1. defendants under the involved policy of insurance;
- 2. That plaintiff be awarded its costs, expenses and attorney fees incurred herein; and
- For other such relief as the Court deems just and proper. 3.

DATED: October/5, 2007

ROBIE & MAT Professional

r Plaintiff PROGRESSIVE WEST

LAW OFFICES OF ANH QUOC DUY NGUYEN & ASSOCIATES

Branch Offices:

SAN GABRIEL 10:5 E. LAS TUNAS DRIVE SAN GABRIEL, CA 91778 PHONE: (626) 286-2239

SAN DIEGO 4745 EL CAJON BLVD., SUITE 101 SAN DIEGO, CA 92126 PHONE: (619) 284-0800 15622 BROOKHURST STREET WESTMINSTER, CALIFORNIA 92683

> PHONE: (714) 531-8181 FAX: (714) 531-9397

RESPOND TO:

• WESTMINSTER OFFICE

SAN GABRIEL OFFICE

January 26, 2007

Tiara Foster, Claims Representative Progressive Insurance Company 6131 Orangethorpe Avenue, Suite 300 Buena Park, CA 90620 714.736.6300 general phone 714.736.6321 direct 714.736.6308 fax Via Certified Mail with Return Receipt and Fax to: (714)736-6308

Re:

My Client:

Your Insured/Defendant:

Date of Accident:

Your Claim No.:

Bun Bun Tran Leonel Arrellano November 18, 2006

060409287

Dear Ms. Foster:

Please be advised that I represent Bun Bun Tran, who was seriously injured because of your insured running a stop sign. Thereafter, your insured fled from the scene. He has been arrested and is currently awaiting a criminal hearing in February of this year. My client has been hospitalized at UC San Diego, Floor 8 East, Room 812A, in a comatose condition since November 18, 2006. I understand that you have learned about his condition and the facts behind this accident from Esurance, the auto insurance company of Bun Bun Tran. You therefore know that the medical expenses are approaching \$700,000 and Progressive has insufficient amounts of liability insurance.

My client is represented by his mother/guardian, Le Thi Nguyen. My client is hereby willing to be responsible for any and all medical and other liens so long as Progressive tenders all of its liability limits within 15 days from the date of this letter subject to the further condition precedent of convincing me that there are no other responsible parties, whether insured or not, causing this accident. If I am convinced, I will state as much in a letter. If I am not convinced, I will never state as much in a letter and there will be no settlement. Please also tell me, since it may bear on settlement, whether or not your insured received liquor at a Chili's restaurant shortly before the accident, and if so, which Chili's restaurant. We understand that Chili's was your insured's employer at the time of the accident.

Very truly yours,

Anh Q. D. Nguyen

ANGELO & DI MONDA A LIMITED LIABILITY PARTNERS US

ITEI NORTH BEPULVEDA SOULEVAND MANHATTAN BEACH, CALIFORNIA 90260

TELEPHONE: (310) 939-0009 FACSIMILE: ISIO) 939-0025

June 28, 2007

CHRISTOPHER E. ANGELO

JOSEPH DI MONDA, A.I.A.

James O. McLaughlin Winet, Patrick & Weaver 401 West A Street, Suite 1400 San Diego, CA 92101 619,702,3902 619,702,5432 fax

Re:

Bun Bun Tran v. Leonel Arrellano, et al.

Your File No. P21084

Dear Mr. McLaughlin:

I assume that you have requested and reviewed all correspondence exchanged between the two claims adjusters from Progressive and the law office of Anh Nguyen. Pursuant to that review by you, I assume you and your primary client, Mr. Arrellano, are ready to consider demanding certain settlement parameters, as follows:

- Leonel Arrellano and his liability insurer, Progressive, stipulate to Arrellano's 1. liability and as to the amount of reasonable damages (assuming a reasonable stipulation) relative to the above matter.
- In exchange, my client agrees not to execute any judgment against Mr. Arrellano, 2. so long as Progressive consents to this arrangement without any reservation. Future wrongful death claims will also be waived.
- 3. Progressive agrees to pay the entirety of that stipulated judgment, subject to any offset or reimbursement from cross-complaint recoveries achieved by your firm against the City of San Diego and/or Chili's Grill & Bar.
- You will not have the benefit of any cross-complaint recovery against co-4. defendant Patricia Cole because a settlement has been achieved between attorney Anh Nguyen and First American in light of their very professional conduct.

ANCELO & DI MONDA A LIMITEO LIABILITY PARTNERSHIP

I am open to any other parameters suggested by you in writing. The parameters suggested by me in this letter will remain open for the next 30 days, at which time all settlement negotiations will be withdrawn.

Very truly yours,

ANGELO & DI MONDA, LLP

Christopher E. Angelo

CEA/csh

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN DIEGO DIVISION

143598 - KD

October 16, 2007 16:22:38

Civ Fil Non-Pris

USA0 #.: 07CV1999

Judge..: JOHN A HOUSTON

Amount.:

\$350.00 CK

Check#.: BC 15834

Total-> \$350.00

FROM: CIVIL FILING

PROGRESSIVE WEST INS. CO. V.

BUN BUN TRAN, ET AL